

## Cloud-to-ground lightning downwind of the 2002 Hayman forest fire in Colorado

Timothy J. Lang and Steven A. Rutledge

Department of Atmospheric Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado, USA

Received 9 September 2005; revised 24 October 2005; accepted 19 December 2005; published 7 February 2006.

[1] The Hayman forest fire occurred near Denver, burning ~138,000 acres during 8 June–2 July 2002. It produced aerosol that filled the troposphere over Colorado, allowing an investigation of the effects of increased aerosol concentration on cloud-to-ground (CG) lightning polarity. Compared to climatological values over eastern Colorado, the 2002 fire period showed enhancements in satellite-derived aerosol optical depth (AOD; up to 0.4 units greater), and the percentage of +CG lightning more than doubled in the domain average. However, spatial patterns were different in the fire's vicinity, with increases in AOD but not in +CGs. Denver soundings during the fire were compared to climatology, and showed environmental differences that are associated with increased +CG percentage, in particular higher cloud base and reduced warm-cloud depth. Thus, our findings provide only mixed support for the idea that smoke aerosols impact CG polarity, and suggest a possible link between drought conditions and increased +CG percentage. **Citation:** Lang, T. J., and S. A. Rutledge (2006), Cloud-to-ground lightning downwind of the 2002 Hayman forest fire in Colorado, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, *33*, L03804, doi:10.1029/2005GL024608.

### 1. Introduction

[2] Cloud-to-ground (CG) lightning from thunderstorms has predominantly negative polarity. However, among other exceptions (e.g., severe hail-producing storms), researchers have observed enhanced positive CG (+CG) activity from pyrocumulus clouds, or from thunderstorms ingesting smoke from forest fires. For example, *Latham* [1991] observed entirely +CG lightning from a pyrocumulus cloud that formed over a prescribed burn. More recently, and on a larger scale, *Lyons et al.* [1998] reported an increase in +CG percentage and peak current over the southern plains of the United States during April–June 1998, relative to climatology. The region of enhanced +CG activity was filled with smoke aerosol advected northward from fires that burned in southern Mexico during that time. Furthermore, *Murray et al.* [2000] noted that in addition to the +CG changes, peak currents and multiplicity in return strokes per flash for negative CGs (−CGs) during May 1998 both decreased relative to climatology.

[3] The reasons for this anomalous lightning behavior are still unclear, mainly because our understanding of the electrification processes that lead to enhanced +CG flashing is limited. *Vonnegut et al.* [1995] suggested that enhanced amounts of negative space charge over burning vegetation,

which supplants the usual positive fair weather space charge, may play a role in anomalous pyrocumulus electrification. However, ion-based mechanisms are no longer viewed as playing a major role in thunderstorm electrification [e.g., *Williams*, 2001].

[4] In intense thunderstorms not affected by fire or smoke, recent work has pointed to strong, broad updrafts that allow near-adiabatic liquid water contents to form in the mixed-phase region (i.e., 0°C to −40°C) as playing a role in anomalous positive charging [e.g., *Williams*, 2001; *Williams et al.*, 2005]. In high liquid water content regions, laboratory experiments suggest that graupel–ice collisions result in positive charging of the rimer, even at low temperatures [e.g., *Saunders and Peck*, 1998]. This could lead to the development of a mid-level (e.g., −10 to −20°C) positive charge region in place of the usual negative charge at this level. Mid-level positive charge has been observed in several severe thunderstorms that occurred during the STEPS project [e.g., *Lang et al.*, 2004; *Wiens et al.*, 2005], and it played a major role in enhanced +CG discharging by these storms.

[5] Enhanced +CG storms may be caused by environmental changes that favor the development of these strong, broad updrafts through increases in conditional instability and reductions in the warm-cloud depth [e.g., *Smith et al.*, 2000; *Carey and Buffalo*, 2005]. Reducing the warm cloud depth would minimize the impact of precipitation loading and moisture scavenging below the melting level, maximizing updraft strength and supercooled liquid water contents in the mixed-phase region.

[6] A similar process could occur in storms affected by fire/smoke. *Andreae et al.* [2004] showed observations of “smoking” rain clouds near fires in the Amazon. They reported that the onset of precipitation occurs at higher altitudes in these storms, allowing the updrafts to stay vigorous. They hypothesized that the larger aerosol concentration competes for condensed water, leading to smaller average cloud droplet size and limiting warm-rain processes. *Andreae et al.* [2004] also suggest that fire heat may invigorate updrafts in pyrocumulus clouds. Their observations did not include lightning, but they could be applicable to enhanced +CG percentage, since the key mechanism may be the aforementioned strong, broad updrafts within the mixed-phase region [*Williams et al.*, 2005]. In this sense, the environment or the fire/aerosols can lead to the same basic result – high liquid water contents in the mixed-phase region, and subsequent positive charging of the rimer in graupel-ice collisions, leading to mid-level positive charge.

[7] The Hayman fire occurred southwest of Denver in 2002. It started the afternoon of 8 June (local time), and when it was 100% contained on 2 July ~138,000 acres had

burned. During the fire's lifetime it produced substantial quantities of aerosol, which were tracked by the MODIS instrument on board the Terra satellite. This provided an opportunity to further investigate the effects of forest fire smoke plumes and aerosol layers on CG lightning polarity. This study expands on the single-storm study of *Latham* [1991] by covering a broader region, while allowing for finer-scale analysis than that provided by *Lyons et al.* [1998] and *Murray et al.* [2000]. Unlike prior studies, we use atmospheric soundings to determine if any differences from climatology existed in the environment, differences that may contribute to enhanced +CG flashing.


## 2. Lightning Observations

[8] We used CG strike information for the period 1995–2004 from the National Lightning Detection Network, which has close to 90% detection efficiency and better than 0.5 km location errors in eastern Colorado [*Cummins et al.*, 1998]. Per the recommendations of *Cummins et al.* [1998], we discounted +CG flashes with peak currents below 10 kA. For the Hayman fire period of 8 June–2 July 2002, we gridded the data to a latitude/longitude grid covering eastern Colorado (37–41°N, 106–102°W). The grid had a spacing of 0.2° in either direction. In each gridbox, we determined total number of days with CGs, mean numbers of –CGs and +CGs per each day with CGs, percentage of +CGs, and mean peak current and return stroke multiplicity for each polarity. We then did the same for surrounding years (1995–2004, excluding 2002), creating a 9-year climatological average for this 25-day period. We then examined departures from climatology during the 2002 Hayman fire, similar to the methodology employed by *Lyons et al.* [1998] and *Murray et al.* [2000].

[9] Figure 1 shows these results. There are large reductions in –CG lightning during the Hayman fire, up to 10–20 fewer strikes per gridbox per each day with CGs. In addition, there are modest increases in the total numbers of +CGs in some locations. This translates to a statistically significant (>99% confidence via Student's T test) increase in +CG percentage during 2002 (from 15% climatological +CG fraction to 40% during 2002 in the domain average), particularly in a ring surrounding the Hayman/Denver region, and a small area directly over the Hayman fire. In some areas the increase is of the order 50–75%. Overall, the total number of CG days decreased during 2002, usually on the order of 1–5 fewer days during the 25-day period. This is consistent with the drought conditions that fueled the fire (and why we normalized CG flashes by the total number of CG days). Though not shown, –CG peak currents and multiplicity also declined relative to climatology during 2002, with +CG peak currents slightly larger in the same region where their numbers increased, and +CG multiplicity largely unchanged.

## 3. MODIS Aerosol Observations

[10] Overpasses by the MODIS instrument on board the Terra satellite were used to track aerosol optical depth (AOD) differences between 2002 and surrounding years. For more information on MODIS AOD data, including retrieval methodologies and measurement uncertainties, see *Chu et al.* [2002]. Because Terra data are available




**Figure 1.** CG lightning differences in eastern Colorado between the 2002 Hayman fire period (8 June–2 July) and the climatological average for the same period during 1995–2004 (excluding 2002): (top left) number of –CGs per 0.2° × 0.2° gridbox per storm day (contours: –20, –10, 0), (top right) number of +CGs per storm day (contours: –1, +1, +5), (bottom left) percent of +CGs (contours: +25%, +50%, +75%), and (bottom right) number of days with CG lightning (contours: –5, –1, +1). Regions with the largest changes are shaded. Also shown are locations of the Hayman fire and Denver.

from 2000, we only considered the Hayman period (8 June–2 July) for 2000–2004. AOD values from individual overpasses during a day (usually 0–4 per day) were gridded to the same grid as the lightning, then averaged together and considered representative of that day's aerosol coverage. Given the small overlap between data from individual overpasses, this tended to be more of a composite image than a true average. Our methodology assumes little variability in MODIS AOD values between overpasses. We averaged the composite images during the Hayman period for 2002, and for surrounding years (2000–2004, excluding 2002), and differenced them.

[11] Figure 2 shows the departures from AOD climatology for 2002. Superimposed is the +CG percentage increase contours from Figure 1. There is rough agreement between the increases in AOD and the increases in +CG percentage, particularly north and east of Denver. However, near the Hayman fire, there is considerable disagreement, with increases – indeed, local maxima – in AOD but little corresponding increase in +CG percentage (<25%). At the level of individual days, the disagreement could be even worse. For example, on 22 June (not shown), there was a major outbreak of –CGs (more than 50 –CGs per gridbox in a wide swath extending from the Hayman fire to Denver) roughly collocated with the fire's plume.

## 4. Environmental Observations

[12] Environmental statistics for the Hayman period were computed from the Denver sounding. We used the 00 UTC



**Figure 2.** MODIS AOD differences (shaded contours) between the 2002 Hayman fire period (8 June–2 July) and the climatological average for the same period during 2000–2004 (excluding 2002). Line contours show percentage of +CGs for 2002 vs. 1995–2004 (same as Figure 1, bottom left).

launch, given its correspondence to the usual time of convection in this region (late afternoon). We did not control for soundings ascending into clouds, or for soundings that were distant (in time/space) from the day's convection. We focused on environmental differences that existed between 2002 and climatology (1995–2004, minus 2002). *Carey and Buffalo* [2005] used a more comprehensive sounding set, available during the IHOP project in the U.S. southern plains, to investigate whether +CG storm environments were different than –CG storm environments. They found significant differences (at the 95% level) in parameters like lifting condensation level (LCL), freezing level (FL), and convective available potential energy (CAPE) between different levels. In our study, we only compare the 95%-confidence parameters found by *Carey and Buffalo* [2005], excluding storm-relative winds. We did not count null values (like a non-existent level of free convection, LFC, in a stable sounding) toward averages in the relevant parameter. We only show results from the Hayman fire that are statistically significant at 95% confidence (Student's T test).

[13] The results are shown Table 1. First, the observed magnitudes are different than those of *Carey and Buffalo* [2005], likely because of the different locations. Thus, we examine whether the observed departures from climatology

for 2002 are consistent in sign, if not in magnitude, with those seen for +CG storms (>50% +CG fraction) vs. –CG storms (<50% positive) in IHOP. Here we see areas of agreement and disagreement. However, the areas of agreement such as LCL, warm cloud depth, and CAPE between the LFC and  $-10^{\circ}\text{C}$ , have the most relevance to the high liquid water content hypothesis for +CGs. As *Carey and Buffalo* [2005] argued, reduced warm-cloud depth would suppress warm-rain processes, reducing precipitation loading of the updraft while also increasing supercooled liquid water in the mixed-phase region. Higher LCL (i.e., cloud base) tends to result in larger updraft widths, reducing entrainment and allowing more efficient conversion of CAPE and thus stronger updrafts and larger supercooled water contents [e.g., *Williams et al.*, 2005].

[14] Though not statistically different, climatological and 2002 means for total CAPE and 0–3 km shear averaged  $\sim 300 \text{ J kg}^{-1}$  and  $<1.0 \times 10^{-3} \text{ s}^{-1}$ , respectively. These are not values typically associated with intense convection [e.g., *Weisman and Klemp*, 1982]. Indeed, for 2002 the higher LCL, reduced warm-cloud depth, and higher melting level are more evocative of drought conditions, which is unsurprising. Note, computing environmental statistics for only days with +CGs (i.e., 5 or more in at least one gridbox; 14 out of 25 total days) in 2002 yield similar departures from climatology.

## 5. Discussion and Conclusions

[15] Our results provide only modest support for the hypothesis that +CG production is increased in pyrocumulus clouds or in storms ingesting smoke. The number and percentage of +CGs increased relative to climatology during the Hayman fire, and –CG production decreased. Results from the intercomparison of MODIS AOD values and +CG statistics were mixed for the entire Hayman period – some places there was rough agreement between maxima and minima, other places not. One of the most significant differences was near the Hayman fire itself, where AOD was large but +CG percentage was not. On individual days the agreement was sometimes poorer, with large –CG outbreaks in the smoke plume. The environmental analysis further complicates the interpretation of these results. The soundings suggest that the 2002 environment was more conducive to strong, broad updrafts in the mixed-phase region than climatology. This means the 2002 environment was potentially more +CG friendly than other years.

**Table 1.** Mean Values of Environmental Parameters That Had Statistically Significant Differences Between the Hayman Fire Period (8 June–2 July) During 2002 and the Same Period During 1995–2004 (Excluding 2002)<sup>a</sup>

	2002	Climatology	Agree?
Freezing level (FL)	4811 m MSL	4439 m MSL	No
Lifting condensation level (LCL)	5064 m MSL	4172 m MSL	Yes
Mean mixing ratio in lowest 100 mb	5.3 g kg <sup>-1</sup>	6.2 g kg <sup>-1</sup>	Yes
Wet-bulb zero height	3980 m MSL	3776 m MSL	No
850–500 mb lapse rate	8.95 °C km <sup>-1</sup>	8.24 °C km <sup>-1</sup>	Yes
Warm cloud depth (FL–LCL)	0 m	267 m	Yes
700–500 mb lapse rate	8.72 °C km <sup>-1</sup>	7.92 °C km <sup>-1</sup>	Yes
Equilibrium level (EL)	10517 m MSL	9684 m MSL	No
CAPE between LFC and $-10^{\circ}\text{C}$	19.2 J kg <sup>-1</sup>	54.5 J kg <sup>-1</sup>	Yes

<sup>a</sup>The final column states whether the departure from climatology agrees in sign with the differences seen by *Carey and Buffalo* [2005] for +CG vs. –CG storms.

[16] Our results do not necessarily refute the hypothesis that aerosols can influence +CG percentage, nor do they provide full support to the hypothesis that the environment is more responsible. However, they do suggest that, if the aerosol mechanism is real, the effect of forest fires on CG lightning could be highly complex. Storms ingesting smoke aerosols do not necessarily lead to enhanced +CG flashing in all instances. They may require assistance from a more +CG conducive environment, or there may be a dependence on aerosol concentration, size, and type – which MODIS data can't provide with high accuracy, especially at the scale of individual storms [e.g., *Chu et al.*, 2002]. Moreover, the observed magnitudes for CAPE and shear in 2002 are not those typically associated with intense convection, so perhaps the increased aerosol concentrations assisted the weak, but more +CG friendly, environment in producing the 2002 +CG storms. These are all issues and questions that could be investigated with a high-quality microphysical model that included aerosols and electrification.

[17] One intriguing result is that, while the 2002 environment may have been more conducive to +CG production in thunderstorms, it also was an environment that was typical of drought weather; e.g., reduced warm-cloud depth, and higher cloud bases and melting levels. As research continues on the role of environment in creating +CG storms, it would be interesting to investigate whether a link exists between drought environments and +CG storms, as appears to be the case here.

[18] **Acknowledgments.** NLDN data were provided by Vaisala, via an agreement with NASA Marshall Space Flight Center. MODIS data were provided by NASA and sounding data by the University of Wyoming. This research was supported by NSF.

## References

- Andreae, M. O., D. Rosenfeld, P. Artaxo, A. A. Costa, G. P. Frank, K. M. Longo, and M. A. F. Silva-Dias (2004), Smoking rain clouds over the Amazon, *Science*, 303, 1337–1342.
- Carey, L. D., and K. M. Buffalo (2005), Environmental control of cloud-to-ground lightning polarity in severe storms during IHOP, paper presented at 1st Symposium on the Meteorological Applications of Lightning Data, Am. Meteorol. Soc., San Diego, Calif.
- Chu, D. A., Y. J. Kaufman, C. Ichoku, L. A. Remer, D. Tanré, and B. N. Holben (2002), Validation of MODIS aerosol optical depth retrieval over land, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29(12), 8007, doi:10.1029/2001GL013205.
- Cummins, K. L., M. J. Murphy, E. A. Bardo, W. L. Hiscox, R. B. Pyle, and A. E. Pifer (1998), A combined TOA/MDF technology upgrade of the U.S. National Lightning Detection Network, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 9035–9044.
- Lang, T. J., et al. (2004), The Severe Thunderstorm Electrification and Precipitation Study (STEPS), *Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, 85, 1107–1125.
- Latham, D. J. (1991), Lightning flashes from a prescribed fire-induced cloud, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 96, 17,151–17,157.
- Lyons, W. A., T. E. Nelson, E. R. Williams, J. A. Cramer, and T. R. Turner (1998), Enhanced positive cloud-to-ground lightning in thunderstorms ingesting smoke from fires, *Science*, 282, 77–80.
- Murray, N. D., R. E. Orville, and G. R. Huffines (2000), Effect of pollution from Central American fires on cloud-to-ground lightning in May 1998, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 27, 2249–2252.
- Saunders, C. P. R., and S. L. Peck (1998), Laboratory studies of the influence of the rime accretion rate on charge transfer during graupel/crystal collisions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 13,949–13,956.
- Smith, S. B., J. G. LaDue, and D. R. MacGorman (2000), The relationship between cloud-to-ground polarity and surface equivalent potential temperature during three tornadic outbreaks, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 128, 3320–3328.
- Vonnegut, B., D. J. Latham, C. B. Moore, and S. J. Hunyady (1995), An explanation for anomalous lightning from forest fire clouds, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 100, 5037–5050.
- Weisman, M. L., and J. B. Klemp (1982), The dependence of numerically simulated convective storms on vertical wind shear and buoyancy, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 110, 504–520.
- Wiens, K. C., S. A. Rutledge, and S. A. Tessendorf (2005), The 29 June 2000 supercell observed during STEPS. part II: Lightning and charge structure, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 62, 4151–4177.
- Williams, E. R. (2001), The electrification of severe storms, in *Severe Convective Storms, Meteorol. Monogr.*, vol. 50, edited by C. A. Doswell, pp. 527–561, Am. Meteorol. Soc., Boston.
- Williams, E. R., V. Mushtak, D. Rosenfeld, S. Goodman, and D. Boccippio (2005), Thermodynamic conditions favorable to superlative thunderstorm updraft, mixed phase microphysics, and lightning flash rate, *Atmos. Res.*, 76, 288–306.

T. J. Lang and S. A. Rutledge, Department of Atmospheric Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA. (tlang@atmos.colostate.edu)